

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/997,859 | 11/29/2001 | Bruce Allan Makinen | 10011248-1 6699 | |
| 7590 05/17/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. | | | HAVAN, THU THAO | |
| Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2672 | 9 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/997,859 | MAKINEN, BRUCE ALLAN | | | | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Thu-Thao Havan | 2672 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | • | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying th | е | | | |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/997,859

~

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Rosenberg teaches displaying a target area when he discloses images are displayed and modified on a display screen (col. 4, line 44 to col. 5, line 50). He discloses the user manipulates object in a planar workspace.

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600